Michigan Supreme Court agrees to review the decision in Tomecek v Bavas

On July 3, 2007, the Michigan Court of Appeals issued a disturbing opinion in *Tomecek v Bavas*, 276 Mich App 252 (2007). A portion of the Michigan Land Division Act allows a Michigan circuit court to vacate, correct, or revise a plat or portion thereof.

Traditionally, that provision has always been seen as a remedial or procedural power; that is, to allow a court to correct a plat error, vacate a road or other common area where everyone agrees, or order a similar noncontroversial "cleanup"

matter.

In a stunning decision, the Michigan Court of Appeals held that the statute allows a trial court to drastically revise plats, including forcefully imposing new easements on some objecting property owners and granting new property rights to others. In other words, the decision would allow courts to alter substantive (and oftentimes, very important) property rights, even over the objection of those property

ML&SA and many riparians hope that the Michigan Supreme Court will ultimately reverse the decision of the Michigan Court of Appeals.

owners being adversely affected. This case has important implications for road-ends at lakes in Michigan, since if the Court of Appeals decision is allowed to stand, it would permit local

courts to alter road-end dedications to allow extensive dockage, boat mooring, floating marinas, etc.

If the decision stands, it could also allow courts to take additional property for public road rights-of-way away from adjoining property owners in plats and to expand roads without the need to

exercise eminent domain.

The Michigan Lake & Stream Associations, Inc. (via an amicus brief) joined with others to ask that the Michigan Su-

preme Court agree to hear the Tomecek v Bavas case and urged the Court to reverse the erroneous decision of the Michigan Court of Appeals.

See page 6 of this issue for a clip-n-save subscription form to the Michigan Riparian.

